WINSTON & STRAWN LLP The Legal Center One Riverfront Plaza, 7th Floor Newark, New Jersey 07102 (973) 848-7676 James S. Richter Melissa Steedle Bogad

Attorneys for Defendant Sun Pharmaceutical Industries Limited

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

ELI LILLY AND COMPANY, : Honorable Dennis M. Cavanaugh, U.S.D.J.

Plaintiff, : Civil Action No. 07 CV 3770 (DMC) (JAD)

v.

ACTAVIS ELIZABETH LLC, GLENMARK PHARMACEUTICALS INC., USA, SUN PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRIES LIMITED, SANDOZ INC., MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC., APOTEX INC., AUROBINDO PHARMA LTD., TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA, INC., SYNTHON LABORATORIES, INC., ZYDUS PHARMACEUTICALS, USA, INC.,

Defendants.

: NOTICE OF CROSS-APPEAL TO THE : COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE : FEDERAL CIRCUIT

Notice is hereby given that Defendant Sun Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd. ("Sun") hereby cross-appeals to the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit from the Final Judgment entered in this action on the 25th day of August, 2010, to the extent the Court made any rulings and/or findings adverse to Sun, including, without limitation, the Court's findings that:

- (1) Sun's conduct constitutes inducement of infringement of claims 1-16 of U.S. Patent No. 5,658,590 ("the '590 patent"), if valid;
- (2) Defendants failed to prove by clear and convincing evidence that claims 1-16 of the '590 patent are invalid for obviousness; and
- (3) Defendants failed to prove by clear and convincing evidence that claims 1-16 of the '590 patent are invalid for lack of enablement to the full scope of the claims.

and from all underlying and related opinions, orders, decisions, and rulings.<sup>1</sup>

WINSTON & STRAWN LLP Attorneys for Defendant Sun Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd.

By: s/ James S. Richter
James S. Richter
jrichter@winston.com
Melissa Steedle Bogad
mbogad@winston.com

Dated: August 27, 2010

## **OF COUNSEL:**

James F. Hurst WINSTON & STRAWN LLP 35 West Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60601

Gail J. Standish Peter E. Perkowski WINSTON & STRAWN LLP 333 S. Grand Avenue Los Angeles, CA 90071

\_

Sun cross-appeals to preserve its rights in light of certain Federal Circuit case law discussing when a cross-appeal must be initiated. *See, e.g., Minnesota Mining and Mfg. Co. v. Chemique, Inc.*, 303 F.3d 1294, 1308-09 (Fed. Cir. 2002); *Bailey v. Dart Container Corp.*, 292 F.3d 1360, 1362 (2002). In the alternative, Sun respectfully requests that the Court treat Sun's arguments in support of its cross-appeal as alternative arguments in support of the district court's grant of final judgment in favor of Sun.

Jovial Wong WINSTON & STRAWN LLP 1700 K Street, NW Washington, DC 20006